

Decarbonization Technologies & Opportunities

15

0

September 9, 2024



BURNS

Safety Moment

Install / Inspect CO Monitor

- Natural gas boiler failure
 - Obstruction in exhaust overheated condensing boiler
 - Overheating severely damaged flue gas exhaust seal
 - Boiler trouble code, so cover temporarily removed
 - Technician measured high concentration of CO near damaged seal
- Local CO monitor unplugged, and battery removed
 - Spouse did in middle of night while traveling for business...
- Need to ensure ENTIRE family understands the serious aspect of a CO alarm











Legislative and Legal Update Carbon Capture & Storage

Hydrogen & Ammonia

Data Centers

Northeast Natural Gas Generation Market



Burns & McDonnell – At a Glance





Decarbonization Technologies



BURNS XMSDONNELL.

Legislative and Legal Update



The Decarbonization Investment



Jump-start Investment

Economic Engine





IIJA Jump-start Investment

- Grants distributed by Department of Energy
 - Prevailing wage, apprenticeship requirements, Buy American
 - Requires implementation of Environmental Justice and Justice 40 initiatives
- Hydrogen
 - Funding of 7 hydrogen hubs as core strategy
- Carbon Capture
 - Funding of pilot (80%) and demonstration projects (50%)
- Direct Air Capture
 - Funding of 2 direct air capture hubs
- Loan Program Office (LPO)
 - Significant authorizations to support commercial deployment strategies
 - Program designed for First of a Kind (FOAK) risks





Inflation Reduction Act of 2022 (IRA of 2022)

- Funds distributed through tax code
 - Investment Tax Credits & Production Tax Credits
 - Direct payment for non-profit, government companies, cooperatives
 - Treasury provides guidance on credit eligibility
- Hydrogen
 - Up to \$3 / kg production tax credit
 - Tax credits yearly inflation adjust....starting in 2026
- Carbon Capture
 - Up to \$85 / ton for geologically sequestered CO₂
 - Carbon credits constant for duration of applicability (12 years once operational)
- Direct Air Capture
 - Up to \$180 / ton for geologically sequestered CO₂
 - Carbon credits constant for duration of applicability (12 years once operational)







IIJA Update

• Extremely slow progress by DOE in distributing grants

• Hydrogen

- Hydrogen hub funding for 7 hubs in year-long negotiations
- Awarded at 15% cost match, not 50% as many potential projects assumed
- After inflation, very few projects reported as "in the money"
- Carbon Capture
 - Pilot and demonstration projects awarded and in negotiations
- Direct Air Capture
 - DOE struggling to award as first planned
- Loan Program Office (LPO)
 - Active outside of nuclear loans





IRA of 2022 Update

- Values included in bill based on DOE "cost of production" models
 - Considered CHEAP renewables or curtailed power for hydrogen
 - Aspirational DOE direct air capture value (\$180/ton versus \$800+/ton actual)
- Hydrogen

BURNS MEDONNELL

- Treasury issued guidance requiring only new renewables and no nuclear
- Carbon Capture
 - Coal potential to clear \$85 / ton threshold, but plants still targeted by EPA
 - Gas generation was already marginal as flue gas concentration 1/3 of coal
- Inflation, inflation, inflation
 - Projects now mostly "out of the money"





What Could Make a Difference?

- Congress adjusting the Production Tax Credit (PTC) values for inflation
 - H₂ PTC starts adjusting in 2026, but approx. 40% capital cost increase since 2022
 - Need new baseline and ongoing inflation adjustment for carbon capture
 - Potential for bipartisan support regardless of election
- Local and regional incentives
 - California Low Carbon Fuel Standard (LCFS) great example
- Treasury revising hydrogen guidance
 - Harris admin trash published guidance and rewrite
 - Trump admin very tough to make more restrictive than already done...
 - Little real impact in Northeast region as renewables simply not CHEAP enough for H₂





Chevron Deference

- Rule-making process versus Congressional legislative direction
 - Deference embraced by Clinton administration after Clean Air Act amendment died
 - Used extensively by all administrations since
 - EPA established regulations solely through rule-making process (e.g. Mercury)
- Removed 6-year statue of limitation
 - Now only need to show harm within past 6 year
- Similar outcome to lawsuits regardless of election
 - Harris admin courts will determine acceptable adjustments
 - Trump admin settle lawsuits with "adjusted" rules





Carbon Capture & Exel Storage

Elements of Successful CCUS Project





Capture Facility

- Capture technology has been proven at near scale
 - Petro Nova facility in Texas (suspended operations for years based on economics)
- Large process systems have minimal cost decline for nth of kind



Source: U.S. DOE





CO₂ Pipeline Challenge

- Permitting, permitting, permitting
 - Stakeholder support challenged even in Midwest (Iowa)
- Environmental community
 - Mixed local support for CO₂ sequestration
 - Still involves burning of a fossil fuel
 - Methane emissions during production and transport of natural gas



Class VI CO₂ injection well permitting

- Marching forward with federal EPA and state Class VI programs
 - Primacy granted to North Dakota, Wyoming, and Louisiana
 - Other including West Virginia, Texas, New Mexico in process
 - State permitting authority supporting varies by region
- Permitting durations
 - North Dakota targeting 8 months
 - Wyoming targeting 12 months
 - Federal EPA targeting reduction to 24 months
- Primacy coupled with new laws by state legislatures
 - Accepting state liability and/or ownership (after 10 years) of sequestered CO₂
 - Protecting state revenue from oil and gas development
 - Challenging for similar consideration in Northeast states





BURNS MEDONNELL

EPA NSPS Requirements for New NGCC

- New Source Performance Standard (NSPS)
 - Applicable for new fossil generation
 - Existing unit strategy delayed till 2025

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION

- Natural Gas Combined Cycle (NGCC)
 - If >20% capacity factor then Carbon Capture & Sequestration required
- Lawsuits in process
 - Even Edison Electric Institute (EEI) challenging after supporting prior Clean Power Plan



NSPS for Gas Generation Unlikely to Survive

• Simply too expensive

BURNS MEDONNELL

- EPA cost modeling assumed unprecedented reducing cost curve for process equipment
- \$2 billion in control equipment on a \$1.3 billion combined cycle plant
- 20-30% of CCGT output to power carbon capture equipment
- Single project would exceed market cap of most U.S. utilities
- Production Tax Credit (PTC) unlikely to be extended
 - Precedence is promoting only "new" investment
 - Exception was existing nuclear in IRA of 2022
- 12-year window to recover entire capital investment
 - Carbon capture credits don't inflation adjust
 - After PTC, 30-35% higher fuel and CO₂ disposal costs compared to existing units
 - Typically financed with 20-year loan for a 40+ year asset
- Public Utility Commissions (PUC) can always choose to rate-base investment



Hydrogen & Ammonia

EX Danger

Green H₂ and Green Ammonia Update

- Hundreds of announced mega-projects for "Green Hydrogen"
 - Many GW of power needed
 - Using electrolysis of water using power to produce hydrogen
 - Developers just "piled on" to announcements without developing a viable pro forma
- Unreasonable power cost assumptions
 - Competition for curtailed power not considered
 - Assuming stranded asset pricing
 - Electrical transmission upgrades debottlenecking renewables
 - 40-60% of "Green Hydrogen" production costs is power
 - Treasury delivered the "dagger to the heart"
- Fuels markets developing slowly as a potential hydrogen off-take
 - Sustainable aviation fuel production was originally targeted for green H₂
 - Hydrogen for fuel cell vehicles is decades to fully mature demand
 - Few niche projects continuing to progress

H₂ NH₃

BIG Winner thus far is "Clean" Ammonia!!!

- Japan, Korea, Singapore
 - Seeing highly bankable long-term contracts
 - 20-year vision extensive public/private collaboration
 - Alternative fuels are substantially more, so reasonable choice
- "Blue" hydrogen production
 - Natural gas SMR/ATR w/ carbon capture + Fischer Tropsch process
- E.U. expressing interest too

BURNS MEDONNELL

- "Gaming" production method means less bankable contracts
- Minimal reliance on IRA of 2022 tax credits to continue



Hydrogen Blending in Northeast

- Industrial or power generation dedicated off-taker
 - Good application technically (blended or even 100%)
 - Coupled with a purpose-built pipeline
 - Provides producers a needed "step-change" in hydrogen demand volumes
 - Industrial likely coupled with protectionist foreign market policies
 - Financial recovery of entire investment in 12-year production tax credit period
- Competition from residential / commercial electrification
 - Gas / H₂ competes against heat pumps with cold-weather heating system
 - "Perfect" solution for environmental community
- Blue hydrogen cheaper than Green, but requires carbon capture & sequestration
- Local mandates required to move market forward





Data Centers



Is the New Data Center Load "Real"?

- Al requires 10-20 times more power than traditional search
- Electric Power Research Institute (EPRI)
 - 9% of total U.S. electricity by 2030 (more than double current)
 - Equivalent of 360 large combined cycle or 465 large combined cycles with carbon capture
 - \$468 billion investment if dominated by gas (\$1.5 trillion if CCGT with carbon capture)
- Al data center would be easier to curtail and participate in a market incentive
 - Could move AI computation to a location with renewables
 - No real incentive to do so if only the cost of power



What is the Reality?

- Power is big component of <u>operational</u> costs
 - Relatively insignificant in overall data center economics



- Efficiency improvements not driven by power costs
 - Traditional data center reduction in power driven more by reliability and equipment costs
- Can AI deal with reduced energy supply capacity?
 - Per a venture fund research group, likely 60% reduction is low-hanging fruit
 - Driven by unavailability of power, not power costs
 - 40% of predictions is still a whole LOT!!!
- Quantum computing coming
 - Disruptive technology starting 2035 with MUCH lower power requirements







Northeast Natural Gas Generation Market



Demand Drivers for Natural Gas

- Assume 1/2 of 2030 data center load in Northeast
 - Over 1/2 of current data center load in Virginia
 - 234 GW of new fossil baseload and peaking required
 - Equivalent of 180 combined cycle gas turbines (without carbon capture)
- PJM recent capacity auction 10X prior
 - Fossil (coal, gas, fuel oil) retirements being delayed if possible
- Natural gas is only legitimate option for resiliency coupled with renewables
 - Battery Energy Storage System (BESS) short-term operations (typically 4 hours) is excellent and entirely unavailable for long-term operations
 - Revival of nuclear simply too far out





Peaking Generation will Dominate Northeast

- Prediction: Combined cycle risky, peaking safe investment long-term
- Off-shore wind <u>is</u> coming
 - Fuel displacement technology (offsets needed natural gas)
 - Higher capacity factor than on-shore wind or solar
 - Could strand some of a combined cycle plant's capacity factor once wind on-line
- Battery Energy Storage Systems (BESS)
 - Great help with wind / solar ramp rates while waiting for natural gas generation to catch up
- Natural gas supply delivery system reliability will be crucial









IIJA and IRA impact challenged by inflation

Regional Carbon Capture & Storage will proceed Hydrogen challenged, Da but ammonia emerging hu as winner b

Data center demand huge, but potential to be limited to 40% Big gas demand, peaking generation likely to dominate









Grant Grothen, P.E.

Principal

ggrothen@burnsmcd.com Cell: +1-913-219-2844

